## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/050.511 HALL ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Fave Fleming 3616 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Faye Flemina. (4)\_\_ (2) Craig Metcalf. Date of Interview: 23 June 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: \_ Claim(s) discussed: 1,15 and 26. Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patents 6,022,044, 6,199,898 and 6,565,118. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\times$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 1, 15 and 26 were discussed and it was agreed that the proposed amendment having the limitation "wherein the first stiffening element is a stay" would define over the prior art of record. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE

GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required